

**RE:** Transit System Implementation

Dear Valdosta City Council and Lowndes County Commission Members,

Please find attached a comprehensive briefing notebook for the implementation of an urban fixed route public transit system for the residents of Lowndes County. Please review this information and make note of any questions you might want to ask about the implementation of the transit system during your next work session.

Both myself and members of our consulting team will be available to answer your questions at your next work session.

Attached are the following items:

- 1. Comprehensive Briefing Notebook
- 2. Tallahassee Transit Scanning Tour Report
- 3. Estimated FY2010 Budget
- 4. Funding source table
- 5. Detailed Implementation Timeline

Sincerely,

Corey Hull MPO Coordinator





# Transit Development and Business Plan

**Briefing Notebook** 

June, 2009







# Outline

- Section 1: Project Overview
- **Section 2: Summary of Transit Feasibility Study**
- Section 3: Summary of Public Meetings January-March, 2009
- Section 4: Summary of Scanning Tour of the StarMetro System
- **Section 5: Summary of Draft Transit Service Plan/Concept**
- **Section 6: Summary of Draft Transit System Delivery Options**
- **Section 7: Summary of Transit System Funding and Budget**
- Section 8: Summary of Public Meetings May-June, 2009
- **Section 9: Overview of Transit System Implementation Timeline**



Tran Systems

PERIENCE | Transportation









#### Section 1

## **Overview of Valdosta Area Transit Implementation**









## Background

- Previously Completed Transit Feasibility Study, 2006
- Transit Feasibility Study provided Preliminary Routing Options
- Transit Feasibility Study provided Financing Options
- Planning Horizon Three to Five Years







#### **Goals and Objectives**













### Scope

- Development of Service Plan
- Develop Capital and Operating Budgets
- Recommend Service Delivery
- Assist with Service Implementation





		Fast Bound Time Points							West Bound Time Points					
							10	10						
	1	McDanie Dr & Perny Un Dipurts)	Pakeouda University	Jackson Mie Mal	vitage 6. Western	Tarana Terana Gale (Western) Proper II	2nd 8. But er Dr Narth (Arrives) Beguared	2nd 8 Butter Dr. North (Departs) Proper, ID	Grava Ierrace Geter (Ventern) Received	Village 6 Western	Jadacrielle Mal	Pakwood& University	McDane Dr & Penny Lin (Antwis)	
2		4.47.494	6:50 AM	7.00 AM*	7:10 44	7:19 (44	7:32.441	7:47 AM	0.00 441	0.00 AM	0.12,44	0:10 241	0:21 344	
8		7:47 dM	7:50 AM	7:50 AM	7:59.441	Mis 80.8	E:21.4M	9.32 AM	8:45 44	B. SI AM	91 DH A85"	9.14 44	91.17 AM	
÷.		8:47 /24	(E50.3M	9:00 /44*	9:10 AM	9:19 aM	NA 909	\$:47 MM	10:00 JM	10:09 (44	10:12 AM	TO: TO AM	10/21 /44	
3		9:45 /M	St.40.3M	9:54 AM	9:57 AM	10:06 AM	10:39 4M	30232 MM	10:45 JM	1054 MM	11:04 .75*	11114 AM	11:17 48	
£.							D-TR-M	THUC M	1.00.001					
							100.00							
8							10000	1.000						
8								1221.001						
2							1. COLUMN	341.000						
							-01799							
							1000							
							1000	These a	or these project	ts, and not	of the stops	Call 930 RID	of the Indu.	

Preventive C. portions of the case operates on prestacted with prycloutilation. Only autoched person \* Type marked arrive or depart from the Mail As Ondow Memorial Hospital (Lighter Green on the map) Schedure Clarmont 1-1-08







ran

ASSOCIATES





Section 2

## Summary of Transit Feasibility Study











# **2006 Feasibility Study**

- MPO selected as the lead agency
  - · Valdosta, Lowndes County, GDOT, FTA
- Determine the need and demand for transit
- Provide service alternatives
- Calculate start-up costs
- Provide recommendations for local leaders











# 2006 Feasibility Study

## **Demographic Analysis**

- Population Projections and Density
- Household Income and Personal Vehicle Access
- Employment Clusters
- Activity Centers
- Special Populations











# 2006 Feasibility Study

- Area wide survey
  - 16,000 sent /921 returned
  - 63% of respondents said they would use transit on weekdays
  - 6am 7pm desired hours of service
  - Trip purposes:
    - Shopping, entertainment, work, medical, others
  - Safety of transit is the most important quality







# **Service Options**

- Fixed Route
- Route Deviation
- Checkpoint Routing
- Demand Response
- Rideshare/Vanpool













## **Peer Review**

#### <u>Albany</u>

- 94,000
- 7 buses on 10 routes
- 4 bus demand response
- \$1 fare

#### <u>Athens</u>

- **101,000**
- 19 buses
- 3 bus demand response
- \$1.25 fare

#### <u>Augusta</u>

- **210,000**
- 22 buses on 15 routes
- 7 bus demand response
- \$1 fare average

#### <u>Rome</u>

- **37,000**
- 22 buses on 5 routes
- 3 bus demand response
- \$1 fare average





# **Route Suggestions**





& ASSOCIATES





# **TMP** Route Suggestions









# Feasibility Recommendations

- Fixed Route System with Demand Response
- Service 5 days/week
- 2 Routes, 1-hour headways
- 4 buses, 3 demand response
- Initial Capital Start-Up Costs
  - About \$3,700,000
- Annual operating costs
  \$900,000 to \$1,200,000













#### Section 3

## Summary of Public Meetings January – March, 2009









## Overview

#### **Stakeholder Meetings Held**

- DFCS, CVB, SGMC
- MIDS, Inc.
- Moody Air Force Base
- VSU
- Val Tech
- Chamber of Commerce
- Civic Groups, Religious Groups, Student Groups, Retirees, Homebuilders, etc.













# **Key Issues Raised**

- Most Common Barriers to Employment are Transportation and Childcare
- Benefits of Transit includes Convenience and Lower Cost of Fares Vs. Cab
- Transit System Needs
  - Social Services
  - Students
  - Commuters
- Institutional Barriers
  - Stereotype of Riding Transit
  - Different Priorities Among Governments
  - Different Priorities Among Transit Providers
  - Public Sector Slow to Address Issues
    - **Private Sector Consideration Important**













# **Key Issues Raised**

- Concern About Ongoing Operational Funding for the Transit System
- Transit System Needs to Address Community Mobility Needs
- Coordination of Transit System with MIDS and VSU
- More Transportation Options Needed For
  - Senior Population
  - Students
  - Populations Without a Car

Eliminate Need For More Parking at The Associated Social and Downtown











# **Key Issues Raised**

- Inability of Local Transit Service to Guarantee Service in the Past
- Need Transit Access Throughout the Base, Not Just at the Front Gate
- Currently Moody Has no Means To Transport People Around the Base
- Key Population Groups to Serve
  - Retiree Population
  - Employees



























## Purpose

**Observe** 



#### **Successful Strategies**









Learn



# Objective

#### **Lessons Learned**

- Transit Planning
- Transit Administration
- Transit Finance
- Transit Operations
- Transit Maintenance
- Governance and Institutional Issues
- Procurement and Contracting Issues
- Challenges and Opportunities





#### EXPERIENCE | Transportation

#### **Consider Phased Implementation**

# Transit Center

**Consider a Decentralized Transit Center** 

- Downtown is Not The Best Location For a Transit Contor
- Focus on Key Activity Generators
- Partnership is Important for a Successful Transit System



**Lessons Learned** 









# **Lessons Learned**

- Transit System Branding is a Key Success Factor
- Communication is a Critical Success Factor
- Marketing the Transit System is Critical
- Need to Identify Target Market for Transit System

#### Consider Non-traditional Revenue Sources











#### Section 5

## Summary of Transit Service Plan/Concept









#### Overview

#### Background on Transit Service Planning

- Objectives
- Markets
- Process
- Summary of Draft Service Plan
  - Demographics
  - Service Design Standards
  - Two Design Options
    - Routes
    - Level of Service
    - Estimated Cost











# **Transit Service Planning**

## **Objectives**

- Balance Service With Community Resources
- Service Plan Balances
  - Efficiency—Ensure Resources Appropriately Used (avoid under used buses)
  - Effectiveness—Ensure Service Addresses Needs of Transit Market
  - Tailor Resources to Transit Market







### What is a Good Transit Market?

#### Traditional Markets in Communities Similar to Valdosta/Lowndes Area:

- Lower Income: People Without Transportation
- Elderly: Either Low Income or Unable to Drive
- <u>College Students</u>: Income or Limited Parking on Campus
- General Public:
  - High Gas Prices (\$4/gallon)
  - High Traffic Congestion—Long Commute Times
  - Limited Parking (AFB, VSU, etc.)







PERIENCE | Transportatio



## Low Income





## Elderly









## **College Students**









## **General Population**





# General Population








# **Service Design Assumptions**

- Most Riders Use Service For Work or School Trips.
  - Build Service Around Work/School
  - Can Address Shopping as Shopping locations are also Work Locations.
- Days of Operation
  - Monday Through Fridays, Sometimes Saturdays
- Hours of Operation
- Frequency of Service





# **Service Design Assumptions**

- Days of Operation
  - Monday Through Friday, Sometimes Saturdays
- Hours of Operation
  - 6am to 6pm; late until 10pm or so for service jobs
- Frequency of Service
  - Typically 60 minutes; 30 minutes considered very good in modest urban areas.
- Minimize Transfers ("one seat ride")
- Direct Travel as Possible







# Valdosta-Lowndes Concept

- Work in Progress
- Apply National Standards, Tempered by Local Interviews and Knowledge







#### Concept

 "Idea" Starter, Designed for Reaction



 Exact Streets not Important at This Point

# Valdosta-Lowndes Concept

## Got Feedback

- Assumptions on Service Design
  - Frequency ("headways")
  - Days
  - Hours During the Day ("span")
- Locations
  - Targeting Traditional Transit Market
  - Provide General Public Opportunity













#### **Demographics: Household Density**



ASSOCIATES



(PERIENCE | Transportation

3 Households Per Square Acre To Be The Minimum Acceptable To Support Fixed Route Transit Service





#### Demographics: Employment Density



4 Jobs Per Square Acre Will Contribute To The Success of Fixed Route Transit Service.







#### **Service Design Standards**

Span of Service

6:00 a.m. to 7:00pm

Frequency of Service

Every 60 Minutes

Service Coverage













#### Fixed Route Service: Issues

- VSU Service
- Sidewalk Accessibility & ADA<sup>\*</sup>
- Community Connectivity
- Headway Times (Frequency)
- System Coordination













# Pendleton Drive Transit Center



Tran Systems





## Pendleton Drive: Westside Route

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 10pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - · 30 minutes / 60 minutes
- 2 vehicles
- Estimated Ridership
  - Weekday: 310
  - Saturday: 110
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$398K-\$477K
  - Saturday: \$34K-\$41K







#### Pendleton Drive: Downtown/Southside Route

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 7pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - 60 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 130
  - Saturday: 70
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$198K-\$239K
  - Saturday: \$34K-\$41K







#### Pendleton Drive: Eastside Route

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 7pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - 60 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 100
  - Saturday: 70
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$198K-\$239K

Saturday: \$34K-\$41K



Tran Systems

## Pendleton Drive: Moody AFB Express

#### Span of Service:

- Weekdays: 6:30am 8:30am & 4:30pm – 6:30pm
- Frequency:
  - 30 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 90
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$61.2K-\$73.4K







#### Pendleton Drive: Valdosta Technical College

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 7:30am 10pm
- Frequency:
  - Trips timed to class schedule
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 75
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$76.5K-\$91.8K









### Pendleton Drive: Summary

- Demand Response Service
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$1.25M-\$1.55M
  - Saturday: \$1.52K \$190K
  - Total: \$1.4M \$1.75M
- Vehicles:
  - Fixed Route: 6 + 2 spares
  - Demand Response: 2 + 1 spare
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 735

#### Saturday: 295





#### Pendleton Drive: Summary

#### **Strengths**

- Service to Smith Northview Hospital and Valdosta High School.
- Service to Moody AFB every 30 minutes.
- Transit Center Located Near South Georgia Medical Center, One of the Largest Employers in Lowndes County

#### **Weakness**

 Length of Routes May Make Operating the Buses on-Time Difficult on 30 or 60 Minute Headway











# Downtown Transit Center









#### Downtown: Westside Route

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 10pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - · 30 minutes / 60 minutes
- 2 vehicles
- Estimated Ridership
  - Weekday: 310
  - Saturday: 110
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$398K-\$477K

Saturday: \$34K-\$41K





### Downtown: Five Points / Downtown

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 7pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - 60 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 130
  - Saturday: 70
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$198K-\$239K
  - Saturday: \$34K-\$41K









### Downtown: Eastside / Southside

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6am 7pm
  - Saturdays: 8am 7pm
- Frequency:
  - 60 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 130
  - Saturday: 70
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$198K-\$239K
  - Saturday: \$34K-\$41K







## Downtown: Moody AFB Express

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 6:30am 8:30am & 4:30pm – 6:30pm
- Frequency:
  - 60 minutes
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 90
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$61.2K-\$73.4K







## Downtown: Valdosta Technical College

- Span of Service:
  - Weekdays: 7:30am 10pm
- Frequency:
  - Trips timed to class schedule
- 1 vehicle
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 75
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$76.5K-\$91.8K







#### **Downtown: Summary**

- Demand Response Service
- Annual Operating Cost:
  - Weekday: \$1.25M-\$1.55M
  - Saturday: \$1.52K \$190K
  - Total: \$1.4M \$1.75M
- Vehicles:
  - Fixed Route: 6 + 2 spares
  - Demand Response: 2 + 1 spare
- Estimated Ridership:
  - Weekday: 755

#### Saturday: 295



EXPERIENCE | Transportatio

ASSOCIATES



#### **Downtown: Summary**

#### **Strengths**

- Each Route Connects Residential and Employment Areas.
- All Routes Serve Downtown Valdosta
- Transit Center Near Typical Ridership Generators.
- Higher Estimated Ridership

#### **Weakness**

- Service to Moody AFB Operates Every 60 Minutes.
- No Service to Smith Northview Hospital or Along Forrest North of Park Avenue.





PERIENCE | Transportation







Section 6

## Summary of Transit System Delivery Options











## Purpose

- Options To Govern and Deliver Transit Service
   Who and How Services Put on The Street
- Background About Transit Operations
- Governance Options
- Delivery Options











EXPERIENCE | Transportation

## **Overview of Transit Operations**

- Overview is Intended To Provide Background Information
  - Dedicated Staff or Shared Staff or Combination
  - Transit Manager Should Be Dedicated; Might Need a Dedicated Planner or Administrative Person as Well.





# **Finance & Administration**

- Budgeting
- Accounting (payroll, accounts payable, accounts receivable)
- Grant Management and Compliance
- Fare Revenue Handling (farebox and pre-paid fares)
- Human Resources (including training)













# **Planning & Marketing**

- Develop Services
- Call Taking (paratransit and fixed route)
- Monitor Service Delivery (riders, service reliability)
- Community Relations, System Image Building
- Public Information











# Operations



Tran Systems



# Operations

- Drivers (hiring, firing, training)
- Vehicle Maintenance
- Facility and Passenger Amenities Maintenance





# **Governing Options**

- Transit Authority
- City Department
- County Department
- City-County Department







MPO/RDC Department









# **Factors To Consider**

- Geographic Scope of The Service
- Complexity of The Operation
- Contribution of Financial Resources
- Time to Implement the Governing Form
  - Service Start Spring 2010
- Access To Skills Needed To Operate and Manage Service











## **Evaluation of Governing Forms\***

	Governing Model			
Factor	Authority	City	County	MPO Dopartmont
Geographic Scope	$\overline{\mathbf{\Theta}}$			
Complexity	0		$\bigcirc$	0
Contribution of Financial Resources	0		0	0
Implementation Time	$\bigcirc$			
Available Skills	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$
Overall Rating	0		$\bigcirc$	0



\*From perspective of service starting spring 2010






### Service Delivery

- How Service Gets on The Street
- Three Basic Models
  - 1. Direct Operations
  - 2. Contract Management
  - 3. Turnkey













### Service Delivery

Option	Definition	Advantages	Disadvantages
Direct Governmental Operation	Government hires a transit manager, all necessary employees, acquires all equipment, primarily vehicles.	<ul> <li>Direct control over the quality of the services provided.</li> <li>Potential "economies to scale" with other governmental functions by pooling resources such as vehicle maintenance.</li> <li>Avoid overhead and profit costs associated with an outside vendor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenge in hiring a manager experienced in transit operations.</li> <li>Potential for unionized workforce.</li> <li>Potential liability of federal labor protection regulations.</li> <li>Potentially "politicizes" service decisions.</li> </ul>
Contract Management	Government hires a firm to manage the system. Personnel and equipment are supplied by the City.	<ul> <li>Obtain needed expertise on a contract basis.</li> <li>Have a potential "bench" of management talent and other expertise.</li> <li>Maintain the advantages of the "direct governmental operation" method.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Can be a higher cost than a manager hired directly by the governmental entity.</li> <li>Retain some of the disadvantages of the direct governmental operations option.</li> </ul>
Turnkey Operations	A turnkey operation involves the government hiring an outside organization to set up, run, and manage the transit system. The government might have title to the buses, but the operator would bring in all other assets (e.g., office equipment, garage, tools, etc.).	<ul> <li>Potentially provides governmental with an easy easier task to make changes.</li> <li>Diminishes federal labor protection regulations.</li> <li>Effective in keeping costs down through periodic procurement.</li> <li>Potential for deeper resources and a more specialized pool of managerial talent.</li> <li>"De-politicizes" service decisions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Would be somewhat dependent on the expertise of the operator for service decisions.</li> <li>Does not avoid dedicating staff to overseeing the operation.</li> <li>Diminished day-to-day control of service quality.</li> </ul>





### Service Delivery...Some Considerations

- Burden on Governing Entity
- Expertise
- Re-evaluation of Service Delivery
- Relative Cost

### Service Quality













#### Section 7

### Summary of Transit System Funding and Budget









### **Funding Needs**

- Capital Cost
  - Physical Infrastructure
    - Vehicles



- Shelters/Bus Stops and Signage
- Maintenance Facility
- Operating Costs
  - Administrative Costs
    - Maintenance Costs









### **Potential Funding Sources**

### **Cost Sharing**

- Support From Local Governments
- Federal Transit Administration
- Georgia DOT
- South Georgia Medical Center
- Valdosta State University
- Valdosta Technical College











### **Potential Revenue Sources**

- Farebox
- Advertising
- Federal Transportation Funding
- City/County Matching Funds
- Public/Private Partnerships
- Parking Fees











### **Overall Funding Requested**

Year	Source	Contract #	Phase	Federal	State	Local	Total	Comments	Executed?	Expires
FY2008	5307	MTG00-0142-00-016	Planning	\$ 21,763.23	s -	\$ 5,440.81	\$ 27,204.04		RDC signed 4/13/09	6/30/2010
FY2009	5303	MTG00-0146-00-013	Planning	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 125,000.00		Yes	6/30/2009
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-021	Operating	\$ 360,466.00	s -	\$ 540,698.00	\$ 901,164.00	1st Year Ops	Yes, supplemental signed	6/30/2010
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-012	Capital	\$ 601,309.00	\$ 73,914.00	\$ 2,313,777.00	\$ 2,989,000.00	Various Uses	No, RDC Signed 2/5/09	12/31/2011
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-011	Rolling Stock	\$ 460,000.00	\$ 86,250.00	\$ 28,750.00	\$ 575,000.00	Rolling Stock	Yes	12/31/2011
	ARRA		Any Capital	\$ 1,400,000.00	s -	ş -	\$ 1,400,000.00	Rolling Stock	No, Not Obligated	180 days
FY2010	5303		Planning	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 3,125.00	\$ 3,125.00	\$ 31,250.00		No, App Submitted	6/30/2010
FY2010	5307		Operating	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	2nd Year Ops	No, budget not set	
FY2010	5307		Capital	\$ 159,651.00	\$ 19,956.00	\$ 19,956.00	\$ 199,563.00	Various Uses	No, budget not set	
FY2010	JARC		Capital	\$ 200,000.00	s -	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 240,000.00	Job Access Marketing	No, App submitted 4/20	
				\$ 3,828,189.23	\$ 195,745.00	\$ 3,464,246.81	\$ 7,248,181.04			

# Overall Funding Includes Federal State Local







### Initial Cost Estimates for the Transit System Tor The Valdosta Urbanized Area

# Costs Based on Preliminary Service/Concept Plan

Operating 5-fixed Routes and ADA service







### **Overview Of FY 2010 Budget**

 Several Assumptions Have Been Made Based on The Current Proposals For The System

- •3rd Party Contracts For All Services
- Excludes Grant Management And Oversight
- Current Funding Available Does Not Account for 30' Regular Busses
- It accounts For 30' Busses On Chassis
- Any Shortfalls In Federal Funding Would Be Local Responsibility
- All Funding Request Reflect Federal And Local Funding Gaps

#### Sources of Local Funding Have Not Yet Been Determined







### FY 2010 Budget

#### VALDOSTA TRANSIT IMPLEMENTATION COST ESTIMATES - FY 2010

	Prelim	inary Estimated	Previo	usly Estimated	Total C	urrent Federal	Total	Federal Share	Tot	al Estimated	Total	Estimated	Tota	al Estimated	Tota	l Local Share	Total	Estimated Local	<b>.</b> .
		otal Costs	Total	Costs (Grants)	Shar	e Requested		Required	Feder	al Funding Gap	Curren	t State Share	Curre	nt Local Share		Required		Share Gap	Fede
CATTAL COSTS	_												_						_
30ft buses	s	700.000	Ś	400.000	Ś	320.000	Ś	560.000	Ś	(240.000)	Ś	40.000	Ś	40.000	Ś	140.000	s	(60.000)	
2<30ft buses	s	140.000	ŝ	140.000	Ś	112.000	Ś	112.000	Ś	-	ŝ	14.000	ŝ	14.000	Ś	28.000	Ś	-	
1 Van	Ś	35.000	ŝ	35.000	Ś	28.000	Ś	28.000	Ś		ŝ	3.500	Ś	3,500	Ś	7.000	Ś	-	
530ft buses	Ś	1.750.000	ŝ	600.000	Ś	600.000	ŝ	1.750.000	ŝ	(1.150.000)	, S	-	Ś	-	Ś	-	Ś	-	
3<30ft buses	Ś	240.000	ŝ	240.000	Ś	240.000	Ś	240.000	Ś	-	ŝ	-	Ś	-	Ś		Ś	-	
DBus Shelters	Ś	500,000	ŝ	9,000	\$	7,200	Ś	400,000	Ś	(392,800)	\$	900	\$	900.00	Ś	100,000	Ś	(98,200)	
1 Transit Center	\$	750,000	Ś	750,000	\$	204,054	\$	204,054	Ś	- 1 - 1 - 1 -	\$	25,507	\$	520,439	Ś	545,946	\$	-	
Administration/Maintenance Facility	\$	2,000,000	\$	2,000,000	\$	204,055	\$	204,055	Ś		\$	25,507	\$	1,770,438	Ś	1,795,945	\$	-	
1 Maintenance Shop Equipment	s	250,000	Ś	190,000	\$	152,000	\$	200,000	Ś	(48,000)	\$	19,000	\$	19,000	\$	50,000	\$	(12,000)	
Sidewalks @123,000 per mile	\$	1,230,000	\$		\$	-	\$	984,000	\$	(984,000)	\$		\$		\$	246,000	\$	(246,000)	
1 Security System (onboard)	\$	10,000	\$	5,000	\$	4,000	\$	8,000	\$	(4,000)	\$	500	\$	500	\$	2,000	Ş	(1,000)	
Security System (onboard)	\$	10,000	Ś	10,000	\$	10,000	\$		Ś		\$	-	\$	-	Ś		\$	-	
Surveillance/Security System (facility)	\$	75,000	Ś	5,000	\$	4,000	Ś	60,000	Ś	(56,000)	\$	500	\$	500	\$	15,000	Ś	(14,000)	
Surveillance/Security System (facility)	\$	75,000	Ś	75,000		75,000	\$			-	\$	-	\$	-	Ś	- 1 - L	\$	-	
Communications Systems	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	\$	8,000	\$	8,000	\$		\$	1,000	\$	1,000	\$	2,000	\$	-	
2 Excl Bicycle Equipment	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	\$	9,000	\$	9,000	ş		\$	500	\$	500	\$	1,000	\$	-	
ADA Vehicle Equipment	\$	30,000	\$	10,000	\$	9,000	\$	27,000	Ş	(18,000)	\$	500	\$	500	\$	3,000	ş	(2,000)	
Fare Collection System	\$	160,000	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	-	Ş		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ş	-	
1AVL /CAD System	\$	260,000	\$	260,000	\$	260,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
1ADP Hardware and Software	\$	30,000	\$	30,000	\$	30,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
1 Miscellaneous (Real-Time Arrival Information)	\$	85,000	\$	85,000		85,000	\$	-		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Marketing and Promotion	\$	250,000	\$	200,000	\$	160,000	\$	200,000	\$	(40,000)	\$	-		40,000	\$	50,000		(10,000)	53
TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS	\$	8,600,000	\$	5,164,000	\$	2,621,309	\$	4,994,109	\$	(2,932,800)	\$	131,414	\$	2,411,277	\$	2,985,891	\$	(443,200)	
OPERATING COSTS																			
5Bus routes	\$	1,243,184	\$	901,164		360,466	\$	497,274	\$	(171,010)	\$	-	\$	540,698	\$	745,910	\$	(171,010)	
ADA Service	\$	495,500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	247,750	\$	(247,750)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	247,750		(247,750)	
Administration																			
1 Transit Manager	\$	150,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	75,000	\$	(75,000)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	75,000	\$	(75,000)	
1 Transit Planner	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,000	\$	(50,000)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,000	\$	(50,000)	
																1,118,660			
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	\$	1,988,684	\$	901,164	\$	360,466	\$	870,024	\$	(543,760)			\$	540,698	\$	2,237,321		(453,200)	
			_		_														
OPERATING REVENUES																			
Farbox	\$	198,868																	
Advertising	\$	19,887																	





EXPERIENCE | Transportation

TOTAL OPERATING COSTS LESS REVENUE \$ 1,769,929 \$ 901,164 \$ 360,466 \$ 884,964 \$ (524,498) \$ - \$ 540,698 \$ 884,964 (344,266)



### FY 2010 Budget

\$	8,115,619	total local request
\$	6,361,891	total local capital request
\$	1,753,728	total local annual operating request
\$	146,144.00	monthly local operating request
\$	584,576.00	March 2010-June 2010 Operating Request
ŚĘ	946 467 00	Total amount of local money needed in EV2010
<b>•</b> •	,,	







CAPITAL COSTS	
30ft buses Fixed Route @ \$350,000 each	\$ 2,800,000
Demand Response Vehicles @ \$80,000 each	\$ 150,000
Van	\$ 35,000
Bus Shelters @ \$10,000 each	\$ 500,000
Transit Center	\$ 750,000
Administration/Maintenance Facility	\$ 2,000,000
Maintenance/Shop Equipment	\$ 250,000
Sidewalks @123,000 per mile	\$ 1,230,000
Fare Collection System @ \$20,000 each	\$ 160,000
AVL /CAD System	\$ 260,000
ADP Hardware and Software	\$ 30,000
Security System @ \$ 10,000 each	\$ 20,000
Miscellaneous(Real-Time Arrival Information)	\$ 85,000
Surveillance/Security System @ \$75,000 each	\$ 150,000
Communications Systems	\$ 10,000
Excl Bicycle Equipment @ \$ 5,000 each	\$ 10,000
ADA Vehicle Equipment @ \$10,000 each	\$ 30,000
OPERATING COSTS	
Bus Routes	\$ 1,243,184
ADA Service	\$ 495,500
Administration	
Transit Manager	\$ 150,000
Transit Planner	\$ 100,000









### **Service Implementation Options**

### Full Implementation

#### **Strengths**

- Service Implemented at One Time
- Shorter Implementation Timeframe
- Easier To Monitor and Quantify Impact/Benefit
- 3rd Party Contracting/ Turn Key can allow the system to start up more quickly with little up front capital costs

#### <u>Weaknesses</u>

- Higher Upfront Cost (Capital)
- Initial Burden on Governing Entity
- Only Option is to Use 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Contracting/Turnkey Operations
- Need Expertise Upfront to Manage The System







### **Service Implementation Options**

### **Phased Implementation**

#### **Strengths**

- Lower Upfront Implementation Cost (Capital)
- Easier to Rev-evaluate and Tweak Service Plan
- Easier to Rev-evaluate and Tweak Service Delivery

#### <u>Weaknesses</u>

- Relative Cost the Same as Full Implementation (Operating)
- Does Not Serve Needs of All Communities How To Prioritize











Section 8

### Summary Transit of Public Meetings May-June, 2009









### **Stakeholder Meetings Held**

- Lowndes County Social Services Building – May 19, 2009
- Remerton City Hall May 20, 2009
- Mildred Hunter Center June 2, 2009
- South Georgia Regional Library June 3, 2009











### **Key Issues Raised**

- Valdosta Region Desperately Needs Transit
- Will the City of Remerton be Part of the Service
- How Quickly Does The Funding Have To Be Locally Matched
- Proposed Routes Need to Serve Key Activity Generators
  - Georgia Military College



**Bemiss Road** 





### **Key Issues Raised**

- Industrial Area Does Not Need All-Day Service
- Moody Air Force Base Does Not Need as Many Buses
- Service Frequency of 60minutes Too Long
- Will The New Service Be Coordinated With MIDS?

## Will The New Buses Be ADA Accessible





### **Key Issues Raised**

- Service gaps in southeast Valdosta and along River Street neighborhoods
- Need for transportation for seniors and ADA accessibility







### Section 9

### **Overview of Transit System Implementation Timeline**











### **Implementation Timeline**





### **System Naming and Logo Contest**





TRANSIT MAPS

HE WORLD



& ASSOCIATES





### **Contact Information**

#### **Corey Hull, AICP**

MPO Coordinator South Georgia RDC 327 W. Savannah Avenue Valdosta, Georgia 31603

(229) 333-5277 chull@sgrdc.com

#### John J. Funny, MITE

Principal/Project Director Grice & Associates, Inc. 1349 West Peachtree Street Suite 1290 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 577-6300 ext. 101 jfunny@griceinc.com

#### **Caroline A. Mays, AICP**

Project Manager Grice & Associates, Inc. 1349 West Peachtree Street Suite 1290 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 577-6300 ext. 103 cmays@griceinc.com





### Valdosta Transit Implementation

Scanning Tour StarMetro Transit System, City of Tallahassee, Florida

May 14, 2009









#### Summary Report







#### **Table of Contents**

1.	Overview	1
2.	Purpose of Scanning Tour	1
3.	Attendees	1
4.	Welcome and introductions	2
5.	Valdosta Attendee Expectations	2
6.	StarMetro Background	2
7.	Presentation	4
8.	Facility Tours	7
8	1 Parantransit System	7
8	.2 Operations Tour	7
8	3 Maintenance Facility Tour	8
8	.4 Transfer Facility tour	8
9.	Lessons Learned	9
10.	Conclusions	9



#### 1. Overview

In 2006, the Valdosta-Lowndes MPO completed a study that identified the feasibility of a public transit system for the Valdosta Urbanized area. The study identified the need for a transit system and outlined conceptual service options and identified potential routes. The feasibility study provided a framework for transit implementation for the Valdosta-Lowndes area. Subsequently, in 2008, the MPO followed this feasibility study efforts by moving forward toward planning and implementation of a transit system for the Valdosta area. As part of the Implementation Plan, a scanning tour of an existing transit system was proposed. The goal of the scanning tour was to provide the Valdosta decision makers with on the grounds example of an existing transit system. Several transit systems were reviewed based on geographic area, service area, service type, and demographics, etc. and the Tallahassee, Florida StarMetro Transit System was chosen.

#### 2. Purpose of Scanning Tour

The purpose of the Transit Scanning Tour was to learn, obtain, and gather information about the inner workings of StarMetro transit system and learn about successful strategies for transit planning, implementation, challenges and opportunities, and key lessons learned by StarMetro

Additionally, the purpose of the Transit Scanning Tour was to help develop a framework that can be adapted to and used to plan and implement the Valdosta Transit System. The focus of the scanning tour was on all aspects of transit planning and implementation including these specific areas:

- Transit Planning
- Transit Administration
- Transit Finance
- Transit Operations
- Transit Maintenance
- Institutional Issues
- Procurement and Contracting Issues

#### 3. Attendees

Name	Organization/Agency
Hon. John Fretti, Mayor	City of Valdosta, GA and Valdosta-Lowndes MPO, Transit Steering Committee Member
Leggett Lovan	Valdosta-Lowndes MPO, Transit Steering Committee Chair, Valdosta, GA
Sam Allen	Valdosta-Lowndes MPO, Transit Steering Committee Member, Valdosta, GA
Jason Davenport	Lowndes County, Valdosta, GA
Corey Hull	Valdosta-Lowndes MPO, Valdosta, GA
David Morgan	Valdosta-Lowndes MPO, Valdosta, GA
John Funny	Grice & Associates, Atlanta, GA
Caroline Mays	Grice & Associates, Atlanta, GA
Ron Garrison	Executive Director, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Alphonso Menendez	Superintendent, Operations, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Johnny Session	Director Finance, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Donna Peacock	Superintendant, Paratransit/Dial-A-Ride, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Ralph Wilder	Superintendant, Maintenance, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Brian Waterman	Planning Director, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Sam Sab	Senior Planner, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL
Heather Harris	Director, Marketing and Promotion, StarMetro, City of Tallahassee, FL



#### 4. Welcome and introductions

Mr. Ron Garrison, Executive Director StarMetro, welcomed Valdosta representatives and asked each person to introduce themselves as well as state what they wanted to get out of the StarMetro tour.

#### 5. Valdosta Attendee Expectations

- Overall System Planning and Implementation
- Challenges and Opportunities
- Governance and Institutional Issues
- Funding Mechanisms and Issues
- StarMetro Operations
- StarMetro System Maintenance

#### 6. StarMetro Background

StarMetro is comprised of six divisions – Administration, planning, General Transit, Garage Facilities, Special Transportation, and Community Transportation.

The Administration division is charged with managing 169 full-time employees and monitoring a \$15.6 million operating budget and \$21 million capital improvement plan. The division promotes and develops programs to increase transit revenue and ridership, such as the U-Pass programs, employee bus pass program, PoGO (Pay On the GO) pass, and service contracts.

The planning division, comprised of five full time professional employees and several part-time or internship positions, provides policy and service development support to the other divisions. The division continuously reviews and recommends scheduling and route changes as the city develops. The division also handles budget preparation, grant applications, marketing, and project management for the transit system.

The General Transit division provides accessible transit services to the citizens of Tallahassee. Transit services are provided 365 days a year. During FY 2008 bus service was provided on 44 city and university routes traveling over 1.9 million miles and providing 4.2 million passenger trips.

The Garage Facilities division maintains an operating fleet of 56 peak pull out buses with a 20% spare ratio, 17 vans, and 13 support service vehicles. This seven-day a week schedule is covered by 22 technicians divided into two shifts with 16hours of overtime required each week to support weekend and evening operations and maintenance. The facility maintenance subdivision of Garage Facilities maintains the administration building, C.K. Steele bus transfer center, and 1,900 Star Stops with 100 shelters. This is accomplished with four full-time positions and four temporary positions.

The Special Transportation division provides complementary paratransit service, Dial-A-Ride, in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Additionally, Dial-A-Ride provides service to the elderly throughout the City of Tallahassee during off peak hours. The Dial-A-Ride service is provided to anyone living within the Tallahassee City limits or within <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> a mile on either side of the fixed bus route in the areas outside of the City limits. Based on the FY 2008 reporting data from the Transportation Disadvantaged Commission thus far this year, 89,564 trips were provided and 863,104 miles have been traveled.

The division also serves as the Community Transit Coordinator (CTC). The CTC is responsible for coordinating all transportation services for the transportation-disadvantaged population of Leon County. Transportation services are coordinated for Medicaid, Developmental Disabilities (Department of Children and Families), Vocational Rehabilitation,



and the Transportation Disadvantaged Commission's non-sponsored riders. In addition, a bus pass program is operated that issues over 250 buses passes each month for fixed route use.

StarMetro operates 25 fixed routes and the service hours are from 5:30am to 10pm on most routes. Service frequency on the fixed-route system ranges from 20 minutes to 60 minutes. Additionally, StarMetro operates 10 routes serving the Florida State University and FAMU. Specifically, eight routes serve FSU and a special service known as the Night Nole operates from 10:00pm to 3:00am on a 15minute service frequency.



#### StarMetro Organization Chart



#### 7. Presentation

Mr. Ronald Garrison, Executive Director StarMetro gave a presentation covering an overview of transit, importance of transit, transit funding, and StarMetro's System.

Mr. Garrison noted that transit is transportation by a conveyance that provides regular and continuing general of special transportation to the public. This may include buses, subways, rail, trolley buses, streetcars, ferry boats etc.

Mr. Garrison highlighted several benefits of transit including

- Reduces gasoline consumption
- Reduces greenhouse gases
- Improves air quality
- Eases traffic congestion
- Increases Mobility Options
- Creates community benefits
- Fosters healthy lifestyles
- Provides economic opportunity
- Enhances growth management

Nationally, transit systems are funded through a variety of funding sources including federal, state, local, farebox, and advertising. The funding is broken into Capital funds and is primarily targeted for infrastructure needs. Federal funds generally cover capital costs at 80% for new construction and rehabilitation of existing transit facilities. The second key area is Transit Operating Funds. The main sources of operating funds are state, local, farebox and to a smaller degree advertising.

Nationally, the majority of transit riders are between the ages of 25-34 accounting for 21.7% followed by age groups 35-44 accounting for 20.2%. A complete break-down of transit passengers by age is provided below.

- 14 and Under 4.0%
- 15 to 19 8.5%
- 20 to 24 11.5%
- 25 to 34 21.7%
- 35 to 44 20.2%
- 45 to 54 17.5%
- 55 to 64 9.8%

Household income of transit passengers is a key indicator of transit ridership. Nationally, the majority of transit riders or 30.8% have household income between \$25,000-49,999, followed by households making less than \$15,000 dollars at 20.1%, households with incomes of \$50,000-74,000 account for 15.8% for transit ridership, households with incomes of 15, 000-24,000 account for 14.8% of ridership, households with income between \$75,000-99,000 account for 9% of transit ridership, households with incomes of \$100,000-149,000 account for 7.2% of transit riders, and those households with income of \$150,000 or more account for 2.3% of transit riders.

The primary trip purpose of transit passengers are for work and school. Nationally, the majority of transit trips for work related purposes account for 59.2% and school trips account for 10.6%. Transit trips for social, shopping and dining purposes account for 8.5% of total transit trips, medical and dental account for 3%, personal and business trips account 6.3%, and other trips account for 5.7% of all transit trips.

The Tallahassee transit system was founded in 1950s by Cities Transit and was purchased by the City of Tallahassee in the 1970s and renamed TALTRAN. The system grew from 7 routes to 40 routes. StarMetro was the first transit system to introduce "fare free" concept in the State of Florida. Fare free applies to a zone or zones within the transit system service



area where no payment of bus fare is required. Everyone riding the transit system within this zone rides the system for free.

StarMetro transit system is guided by several key Objectives:

- Expand transit services
- Increase awareness of transit
- Create Consistent and Positive Image
- Increase Community Support
- Improve Employee Ownership

Key components of StarMetro's Renaissance are:

- Communications
- Technology
- Service
- Amenities
- Opportunities

A unique component of StarMetro's System was the implementation of the Florida State University (FSU) Seminole Express Service in 2007. The service has resulted in 500-700 less cars on campus with a 53% student capture. In 2008, StarMetro added "Osceola" to meet student demand and increased FSU ridership from previous year by 13%. The new service combined with joint marketing efforts increased StarMetro's ridership nearly 45% over 2007 and 2008.

Recent efforts by StarMetro to improve and enhance the system's image have involved the addition of new amenities such as bus shelters and benches. In addition, StarMetro has increased communication with partners such as FSU, Tallahassee Community College, Leon County, Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency, Tallahassee Memorial Healthcare, and Florida Agricultural University.

StarMetro's total annual budget it approximately \$15.5 million dollars comprising of 49% general transfer funds and 51% operating funds. StarMetro's budgetary cost estimates include:

- Administration 13%
- Planning 3%
- General Transit 48%
- Garage Facilities 19%
- Special Transportation 7%
- Community Transportation 11%







StarMetro expenditure category includes:

- Salaries & Benefits 52%
- Operating 20%
- Allocated Accounts 13%
- Utilities and Other Expenses 15%

StarMetro Service comprise of:

- 26 Regular Routes
- 1 Express Route
- 7 Night Routes
- 10 University Routes
- \$3 Million in
- Service Contracts

StarMetro's Ridership

- 4.2 million trips a year
- 60% students
- Overall Ridership Increased 13% in 2008

Several initiatives are underway to enhance StarMetro's future. These include:

- Decentralization in August 2010
- Developing a Regional Mobility Plan
- Undertaking a Regional Transit Study (RTA)
- promoting Transit Oriented Development (TOD)
- County Routes



#### StarMetro's Nova 10 Concept

#### StarMetro's Decentralization Concept



#### StarMetro's NOVA 10 Concept

- Launch August 2010
- Decentralize StarMetro Routes
- Enhances Connectivity
- Increases Efficiency
- Eliminates Redundancy



In conclusion, Mr. Garrison emphasized that

- Transit system branding a transit system is a key to its success. The agency is in the process of rebranding with a new logo to be unveiled shortly.
- It is important to consider other non-traditional revenue generating opportunities other than fare box. Sources such as advertising on the buses, shelters etc.
- Another critical component of a transit system is communications. StarMetro maintains a full-time in house communication and public outreach staff.

#### 8. Facility Tours

As part of the StarMetro system scanning tour, the Valdosta representatives toured the transit facilities. The tour comprised the Paratransit Operations, Maintenance Facility, and Transfer Facility.

#### 8.1 Paratransit System

The guided tour was headed by Ms. Donna Peacock, Superintendant of StarMetro's Paratransit unit. The first component of the tour was the paratransit operations and scheduling center where staff takes calls from potential paratransit riders and schedule rides. The operation is primarily telephone based with and complemented by Trapeze software. Trips are generally scheduled the day before with a cut off time of 5pm for guaranteed next day service. The call center operates from 6:00am to 6:30pm. The guaranteed service is an hour for each trip from the pick-up time. The second component of the paratransit operations involved touring the dispatch center. StarMetro has a consolidated dispatch center for both its fixed route system and paratransit system. StarMetro's Paratransit unit has ten full time drivers and two part-time. The paratransit system provides over 700 trips per day. The trips are primarily for medical, employment, and education purposes.

Key Issues for StarMetro's Paratransit system

- The County does not provide any financial support to the StarMetro paratransit system; however, it operates in some portions of the County.
- It very important to have a dialogue with stakeholders that need and use the service
- In order to operate an effective and efficient paratransit system, there must be supporting sidewalk infrastructure for wheelchair access
- Meeting demand the demand for the service far exceeds capacity
- Driver turn-over issues due to burn-out is a continuous challenge for the paratransit operations

#### 8.2 Operations Tour

The Operations Unit tour was lead by Mr. Alphonso Menendez, Superintendent of Transit Operations. The operations unit is oversees the day-to-day operations of StarMetro's fixed route transit system. There are a total of 102 full time and 20 part-time drivers. All new drivers are required to complete a six-week driver training program prior to officially starting regular routes. The fixed route system operates from 5:40am to 3:15am. The 3:15am late night service is funded by FSU to accommodate the transportation needs of its students.

#### Key components of StarMetro's Operations



- All StarMetro's buses are equipped with cameras to reduce liability
- StarMetro pays for law enforcement services from 9:00am to 5:00pm Monday through Friday
- Passenger counting is done through automated fare box
- The StarMetro System is a cash only fare system
- Bus fares can only be purchased from two locations the transit center and the StarMetro's administrative building. The goal is to move to an electronic and debit/credit card system by 2010. Currently no businesses purchase bus passes for their employees.
- All StarMetro's facilities have security cameras
- The primary buses sizes used are 30ft buses at a cost of approximately \$350,000 to 375,000. Additionally, StarMetro has 35ft and 40ft buses on its fleet
- Need to work on better specking the buses by standardizing the buses
- Bus branding is a key component of StarMetro's system success. The branding of FSU buses has been a great success
- Customer complaints are received via telephone and website
- Customer service focus driver involvement in policy development and discipline
- StarMetro operates approximately 1.8million road mile a year and approximately 6,000 road mile a day
- StarMetro also involves internal auditors in the front end of their operations
- Governance StarMetro is a fully in-house operation under the City of Tallahassee

Some key challenges for the StarMetro System Operations:

- Being a City operated system is challenging due to competing interests within the City
- Service depends on the community size, as the community grows the service has to adapt to the changing needs and demographics

#### 8.3 Maintenance Facility Tour

The maintenance facility tour was lead by Mr. Ralph Wilder, Superintendent of Maintenance. The StarMetro's maintenance facility is co-located with the administrative building. StarMetro has an in-house maintenance staff of eleven full-time mechanics with 9 dedicated to bus maintenance and 2 responsible for special transportation. In addition, the Maintenance division has one project coordinator, 2 equipment services supervisors, as well as a building and maintenance unit with 3 full time and 3 par-time maintenance and custodial staff. In addition, StarMetro's maintenance unit has 2 senior parts specialists and inventory specialists and one accounting clerk. The facility contains bus parking spaces, bus washing facility, bus refueling, and all bus maintenance capabilities.

#### 8.4 Transfer Facility tour

The transit Center Transfer Facility tour was lead by Mr. Alphonso Menendez, Superintendent of Transit Operations.

- The Transit Center was built in 1985 and accommodates 22 bus bays
- The Transit Center is located next to Greyhound
- The Center operates from 6:00am to 9:00pm Monday through Saturday
- There is an information center as well as a ticket booth located at the Center
- Bus dwell time at the transfer center is approximately 5-6minutes
- StarMetro maintains a police center and security system on-site
- Driver shift changes takes place at the transfer center



#### 9. Lessons Learned

- Transit system branding is a key to its success. StarMetro is in the process of rebranding with a new logo to be unveiled shortly.
- It is important to consider other non-traditional revenue generating opportunities other than fare box. Sources such as advertising on the buses, shelters etc.
- Another critical component of a transit system is communications. StarMetro maintains a full-time in-house communication and public outreach staff.
- It's important to have a target market for a transit system. Serving too many competing markets is counterproductive. StarMetro's success was realized after they targeted serving students.
- Centralized transit center has limited the ability of StarMetro to enhance service and meet evolving mobility needs of the City
- Downtown center is not the best location for a transit center, consideration should be given to a decentralized or satellite transit centers to better serve transportation mobility needs of an ever evolving city, region, or a jurisdiction.
- Marketing the transit system is very important to enhance public perception
- StarMetro operates cash-only fare system
- Consider a phase implementation of the proposed Valdosta Transit System
- It's important to spend more money in the system start-up to ensure all components are implemented correctly
- Partnership is a key component to implementation of a successful transit system
- Need to identify the transit system's primary target market in the beginning and focus marketing and services to them
- Need to focus on key activity generators and provide reliable transit service
- The County was not a key player in funding the StarMetro transit system
- Need a bus spare ratio of at least 20%
- Need to consider ADA lifts as key component of procurement and need to consider flexibility

#### 10. Conclusions

The scanning tour provided Valdosta representatives with an opportunity to learn through observation, listening, dialogue, and to get as much out of the trip as possible.

The information and knowledge gained will be reflected in the planning, designing, implementing, and operating the Valdosta transit system that is cost-effective and serves and needs of the residents.

The lessons learned from StarMetro will help inform the strategies that will be adapted to the Valdosta transit system planning, implementation, governance, and operation.


Snapshot of StarMetro Transit System Tour

















Below are the initial cost estimates for the transit system for the Valdosta Urbanized Area. Several assumptions have been made based on the current proposals for the system: 3rd party contracts for all services, excluding grant management and oversight, the current funding available does not account for 30' regular busses, it accounts for 30' busses on chassis. If there are any shortfalls in federal funding, all shortfalls would need to be made up with local funds. All funding request at the bottom of page reflect the federal and local funding gaps. The sources of local funding have not yet been determined. VALDOSTA TRANSIT IMPLEMENTATION COST ESTIMATES - FY 2010 Total Preliminary Previously Total Current Total Estimated Estimated Total Estimated Total Local Estimated Total Estimated Total Federal Share Total Federal Federal Funding Current State Current Local Share Total Estimated Costs (Grants) Requested Share Required Share Required Local Share Gap Federal Source Costs Gap Share Corey's comments DUANTITY CAPITAL COSTS 700,000 320,000 560,000 (240,000) \$ 40,000 140,000 (60,000) 5307 Estimated costs are for regular buses, 30ft buses 400.000 40.000 140.000 140.000 112.000 28.000 <30ft buses 112.000 14.000 14.000 5307 Ś 35,000 35.000 28,000 1 Van Ś 28,000 3,500 3,500 7,000 5307 Ś 5 30ft buses 1.750.000 600.000 600.000 1.750.000 (1.150.000) \$ ARRA stimated costs are for regular buses. Ś --<30ft buses 240,000 240,000 Ś 240,000 240,000 ARRA 50 Bus Shelters 500.000 9.000 7,200 400.000 (392,800) \$ 900 900.00 100.000 (98.200)5307 ncludes Labor Ś 1 Transit Center 750,000 750,000 204,054 204,054 25,507 520,439 545,946 5307 1 Administration/Maintenance Facility 2,000,000 2,000,000 204,055 204,055 25,507 1,770,438 1,795,945 5307 Ś Ś 1 Maintenance Shop Equipment 250,000 190,000 152,000 200,000 (48,000) \$ 19,000 19,000 50,000 (12,000 5307 Ś (984,000) \$ (246.000) 10 Sidewalks @123,000 per mile 1.230.000 984.000 246.000 urrently no funding source -2 Security System (onboard) 10,000 5,000 4,000 8,000 (4,000) \$ 500 500 2,000 (1,000)5307 10.000 10 000 10.000 ARRA 1 Security System (onboard) 1 Surveillance/Security System (facility) 75,000 5,000 4,000 60,000 (56,000) \$ 500 500 15,000 (14,000)5307 75.000 75.000 ARRA 1 Surveillance/Security System (facility) 75.000 -Communications Systems 10,000 10,000 8,000 1,000 1,000 2,000 8,000 5307 10.000 2 Excl Bicycle Equipment 10.000 Ś 9,000 9.000 Ś 500 500 1.000 5307 ć 1 ADA Vehicle Equipment 30,000 10,000 9,000 27,000 (18,000) \$ 500 500 3,000 (2,000 5307 5 Fare Collection System 160,000 100,000 \$ 100,000 ARRA --AVL /CAD System 260,000 260,000 260,000 ARRA Ś 1 ADP Hardware and Software 30.000 30,000 30,000 ARRA 1 Miscellaneous (Real-Time Arrival Information) 85,000 85,000 85,000 ARRA Ś 250 000 40 000 (10.000 Marketing and Promotion 200.000 160,000 200,000 (40.000 ć 50,000 5316 JARC TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS 8,600,000 5,164,000 2,621,309 4,994,109 \$ (2,932,800) \$ 131,414 2,411,277 \$ 2,985,891 (443,200) **OPERATING COSTS** 5 Bus routes 1,243,184 901,164 360,466 497,274 (171,010) \$ \$ 540,698 745,910 (171,010)5307 ADA Service 495,500 247,750 (247,750) \$ 247,750 (247, 750)5307 costs broken out here, but included in \$ Ś Administration Transit Manager 150,000 75,000 (75,000) \$ 75,000 (75,000 2 currently no funding source \$ Transit Planner 100,000 Ś 50,000 (50,000) \$ 50,000 (50,000) ? currently no funding source 1.118.660 TOTAL OPERATING COSTS 1,988,684 \$ 901,164 \$ 360.466 \$ 870,024 \$ (543,760) 540.698 \$ 2.237.321 (453,200) **OPERATING REVENUES** 198,868 10% GDOT Estimate Farbox Ś Advertising 19,887 1% Estimate TOTAL REVENUES 218,755 TOTAL OPERATING COSTS LESS REVENUE 1,769,929 \$ 901,164 \$ 360,466 \$ 884,964 (524,498) \$ 540,698 \$ 884,964 (344.266) 10 369 929 2 981 775 5 879 073 131 414 TOTAL FUNDING NEEDEL 6 065 164 3 4 5 7 3 870 (787 8,115,619 total local request Ś Note: 6,361,891 total local capital request Costs based on preliminary Service/Concept Plan operating 5-fixed routes and ADA service 1,753,728 total local annual operating request Local match not required for ARRA funding \$ 146,144.00 monthly local operating request 584,576.00 March 2010-June 2010 Operating Request \$ 6,946,467.00 Total amount of local money needed in FY2010

Year	Source	Contract #	Phase	Fed	eral	Sta	te	Loc	al	То	tal	Comments	Executed?	Expires
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0142-00-016	Planning	\$	21,763.23	\$	-	\$	5,440.81	\$	27,204.04		No, RDC signed 4/13/09	6/30/2010
FY2009	5303	MTG00-0146-00-013	Planning	\$	100,000.00	\$	12,500.00	\$	12,500.00	\$	125,000.00		Yes, Extension Requested	6/30/2009
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-021	Operating	\$	360,466.00	\$	-	\$	540,698.00	\$	901,164.00	1st Year Ops	Yes	6/30/2010
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-012	Capital	\$	601,309.00	\$	73,914.00	\$	2,313,777.00	\$	2,989,000.00	Various Uses	No, RDC Signed 2/5/09	12/31/2011
FY2009	5307	MTG00-0148-00-011	Rolling Stock	\$	460,000.00	\$	86,250.00	\$	28,750.00	\$	575,000.00	Rolling Stock	Yes	12/31/2011
	ARRA		Any Capital	\$ 1	1,400,000.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,400,000.00	Rolling Stock	No, Not Obligated	180 days
FY2010	5303		Planning	\$	25,000.00	\$	3,125.00	\$	3,125.00	\$	31,250.00		No, App Submitted	6/30/2010
FY2010	5307		Operating	\$	500,000.00	\$	-	\$	500,000.00	\$	1,000,000.00	2nd Year Ops	No, budget not set	
FY2010	5307		Capital	\$	159,651.00	\$	19,956.00	\$	19,956.00	\$	199,563.00	Various Uses	No, budget not set	
FY2010	JARC		Capital	\$	200,000.00	\$	-	\$	40,000.00	\$	240,000.00	Job Access Marketing	No, App submitted 4/20	
				\$ 3	3,828,189.23	\$	195,745.00	\$	3,464,246.81	\$	7,248,181.04			



## VALDOSTA TRANSIT IMPLEMENTATION CRITICAL PATH AND TIMELINE

Issues	Date	Responsible Party
Summary		
<ul> <li>Steering Committee Meeting 5/13/2009</li> </ul>	May 30, 2009	Consultant Team
<ul> <li>Summary of Scanning Tour 5/14/ 2009</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Summary of Public Meetings on Preliminary</li> </ul>	June 4, 2009	MPO
Draft Service Plan		
Decide on Service Plan	June 5, 2009	Transit Steering Committee
<ul> <li>Options (Pendleton/Downtown)</li> </ul>		
Bus Routes		
Present Service Plan to City Council and County	June,8 and 9, 2009 Work Session	MPO/Consultant Team
Commission		
<ul> <li>Input on Preliminary Draft Service Plan</li> </ul>		
Input on Governance Structure		
Revise Service Plan	June 15, 2009	Consultant Team
Public Meeting – Draft Final Service Plan	June 18, 2009	MPO/Consultant Team
City Council and County Commission	June 23 and 24	MPO/Consultant
Decide on Service Plan		
Decide on Governance Structure		
Adoption of Service Plan and Governance Structure	June 26, 2009	MPO Board
Consultation Meeting with FTA/GDOT	July 7, 2009	MPO/Consultant Team
Decide on buses (types and size etc.)	July 14, 2009	Transit Steering Committee
<ul> <li>Fare boxes (manual/electronic)</li> </ul>		Operating Agency/Locals
Fare handling procedures		
Approval of Grants	August , 2009	GDOT/FTA/MPO
Order buses	September-October, 2009	Operating Agency/Consultant Team
Own Procurement		
Existing State Contract		
Naming Contest	August, 2009	MPO/Transit Steering
		Committee/Consultant
Logo Paint Scheme	September, 2009	MPO/Transit Steering
		Committee/Consultant
Final Logo and Name	End of September, 2009	MPO/Transit Steering
		Committee/Consultant
Sidewalk Improvements		Consultant Team/Locals
Identification of gaps on bus routes	July, 2009	
Improvement timeline	August, 2009	
Bus Stops		
Criteria and Policy for bus stops	July, 2009	Consultant Leam
Decide on bus stops	Nevember December, 2000	Legal/Consultant Team
Install Bus Shelters	November-December, 2009	
Iransit System Administration	August -October, 2009	Local/Consultant Team
Staffing		
Farebox /Structure	December, 2009	Local/Consultant Team
Bus fare amount		
Public Outreach	December, 2009 and January 2010	Local/Consultant Leam
Marketing and Promotion	January-April	Local/Consultant Leam
System Lesting	February-March, 2010	Local/Consultant Team
Opening of Transit System	April, 2010	Local/Consultant Leam

5/22/09